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## ABSTRACT

In this thesis, the gain of the magnetic amplifier is derived theoretically as a function of different parameters of the circuit. An experimental circuit of a series-connected magnetic amplifier with a resistive load is set up to verify the theoretical results.

Starting from Kirchoff's Voltage Law and Ampere ${ }^{8}$ s Law applied to the circuit, with the polynomial representation of the magnetization curve of the core material, a set of equations for currents and fluxes is obtained. These equations contain nonlinear terms. The Poisson perturbation method is used to solve the simultaneous nonlinear equations of fluxes.

Successive approximations of core fluxes and currents can be made to get the theoretical results as close as required to the experimental results. Only the first approximation is computed in this thesis.

The only difficulty one can encounter when using the perturbation method is the lack of knowledge of the region of convergence. The smallness of the coefficients which are negative of the nonlinear factors in this particular application contributes to the rapid diminution of the contribution from successive approximations.
俋
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## I-Introduction-

In most analyses of magnetic amplifiers, the steady state and transient responses are obtained by piecewise linear methods or by the assumption of a direct current component and a sine wave of carrier fre= quency.

The basis of operation of the magnetic amplifier depends on the nonlinear characteristics of the core material. This nonlinearity makes the mathematical analysis tedious.

This thesis presents a quantitative analysis of the sinusoidal re= sponse of a series connected magnetic amplifier expressed in dimensionless form.

The method used to solve the nonlinear system equations is poisson's method. Kirchhoff's voltage law and Ampere's line integral law are applied to the circuit to set up its fundamental equations. The magnetization curve of the core material is represented by a general polynomial. The problem is then reduced to solving the simultaneous nonlinear equations of the fluxes in both cores. From that, successive approximations of core fluxes and currents can be obtained. But only the first approximation has been done in this thesis.

The purpose of this paper is to treat the general problem of the gain in magnetic amplifiers. A general type series connected magnetic amplifier shown in Fig. 1 is chosen for study. A biased sinusoldal vol= tage is used as input; and a power supply of higher frequency, as carrier. The output is therefore a modulated signal subjected to harmonic distortions of both signal and carrier frequencies. The waveform of the envelope of the modulated output, i.e. the fundamental component and

harmonic contents of signal frequency has been analyzed. The following results have been obtained in dimensionless form and checked by experiment:
a) General solutions for fluxes and currents
b) Magnitude and phase angle of fundamental gain as a function of the system parameters
c) Harmonic distortions of the response

Poisson's method is most valuable in cases where Poisson's series for the flux converges rapidly, and the core material used has a gradually varying incremental permeability. The problem of d.c. controlled magnetic amplifier can be analyzed by this method by considering it as a special case of the present general analysis.

The present analysis has the following limitations:
I-If Poisson's series converges slowly, the work will be very laborious.

II-If Poisson's series diverges, this method will no longer be valid.
III-The core material should have a gradually varying incremental permeability such that it can be suitably represented by a polynomial of few terms.

1. Assumptions.

The magnetic amplifier circuit used in this analysis is that of a series-connected magnetic amplifier shown in Fig. 1 with a resistance load. The following assumptions are made:
a) Both cores have the same electrical and magnetic properties,
b) Effects due to eddy-current and hysteresis losses in the core are neglected,
c) The magnetization characteristics can be suitably represented by a polynomial
2. Fundamental equations.

Applying Kirchoff's voltage law to the circuit in Fig. 2

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1 m} \sin \Delta t+E A R_{0} i_{0}+\frac{d A_{a}}{d t}+M, \frac{d A_{0}}{d t} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Ampere's Law to the magnetic circuits of the cores (core a and core b) (Fig. 2).

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{a}=N_{1} i_{1}+N_{2} i_{2}  \tag{3}\\
& F_{b}=N_{1} i_{1}-N_{2} i_{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The magnetization characteristics of the magnetic saturable reactors is represented by a polynomial containing a sufficient number of terms to secure the exactness of fit. In general:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{a}=\sum_{n} k_{n} \phi_{a}^{n}  \tag{5}\\
& F_{b}=\sum_{n} k_{n} \phi_{b}^{n} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$\qquad$
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[^0]where $n^{\prime}$ s are odd integers only, due to the skew-symmetry of the magnetization characteristics.

The 6 above equations constitute the fundamental system equations of the magnetic amplifier circuit shown in Fig. 1. These will be solved to determine the transient andsteady state of currents $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$.

Substituting equations (5) and (6) into equations (3) and (4) respectively, then solving for $i_{1}$ and $1_{2}$, in terms of

Combining corresponding terms of the polynomials in the above equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{2}=\frac{1}{1 v_{5}^{2}} \frac{b_{n}}{n}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f^{2} & f
\end{array}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equation(9) into equation (1) and equation (10) into equation (2),

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{a}+\phi_{b}=2 \phi_{x}  \tag{13}\\
& \phi_{a}-\phi_{b}=2 \phi_{y} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

we may then write,

anchen

FIG. Y - SERIES - CONNECTED MAGNETIC AMPLIFIER
where the $j^{8} s$ are odd integers greater than unity. Substituting equations (13), (14), (15) and (15) into equations (11) and (12), then rearranging the results, the following nonlinear, simultaneous equations for $\emptyset_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\emptyset_{\mathrm{y}}$ are obtained:
where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
T_{1}= & \text { second }  \tag{19}\\
T_{i}= & \text { second } \\
V_{i m} & \text { volt/turn } \\
V_{i} & \text { volt/turn } \\
V_{2} & \text { volt/turn } \\
& \text { dimensionless }
\end{array}
$$

Rearrangirg the terms,


where is a dimensional factor which is introduced in these equations in order to make the coefficients and dimensionless. Numerically $\therefore=1$ and has dimensions of turns ${ }^{2}$ /ohm. By examining equations (13) and (14) and the circuit diagram in Fig. 2, it is found that $X_{x}$ is the flux principally produced by the control current and $y_{y}$ is the flux
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produced by the output current.
In equation (18):
$\frac{d 1^{2}}{-20}=1$
volt/turn
The left hand side term is the generated counter emf along the output circuit, and the first term of the righthand side is the linear part of the voltage drop of the fundamental component of the carrier frequency; the second term is the applied carrier voltage and the last term is the voltage drops of all harmonics.

Equation (17) has a corresponding physical meaning for the input circuit.

From equations (13) and (14),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{a}=\phi_{x}+\phi_{y} & \text { weber } \\
\phi_{b}=\phi_{x}-\phi_{y} & \text { weber } \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting equations (29) and (30) into equations (9) and (10), the solutions of the current responses in terms of $\emptyset_{x}$ and $\emptyset_{y}$ are obtained:


If the order of the polynomial in the above equations is allowed to increase indefinitely, equations (30) and (31) become infinite series. The convergence of this infinite series is proved in Appendix A.

Equations (30) and (31) for the current responses can be utilized only if simultaneous nonlinear equations (17) and (18) can be solved for $\emptyset_{x}$ and $\emptyset_{y}$. In these nonlinear equations, $i_{i}$, the coefficient of the nonlinear factor $f_{1}$, and $\quad \because$, the coefficient of the ronlinear factor $f_{2}$ are much less than unity by virtue of the low internal resistances of

Exin
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$\qquad$



FIG. 2 - SERIES-CONNECTED MAGNETICAMPLIFIER ( Theoretical Circuit )
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both control and gate circuits. In case that the absolute values of the nonlinear terms $f f_{2}, f_{2}\left(f_{2}, f_{y}\right)$ are less than
 respectively, equations (17) and (18) can be assumed to be quasi-linear. Since the nonlinear terms appear with small coefficients ${ }_{i}$, and the solutions of equations (17) and (18) can be expressed in the form of Poisson's series:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{x}=\dot{\psi}_{x_{N}}+i_{i}+x_{0} v \dot{x}_{0} \tau+r_{0}  \tag{32}\\
& \phi_{y}=\cdots \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i_{i}$ and are given by equations (24) and (25) respectively. Rearranging equations (32) and (33),

Substituting equations (32) and (35) into equations (17), (33) and (34) into equation (18) then equating terms containing the same powers of : and $i_{i}$ respectively, the following series of linear differential equ\&tions are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} d^{h} y_{0} \cdot \frac{1}{T_{2}} d_{v} y_{0} \quad y_{000}+000=0 i b \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d}+\infty \quad \because \quad \because \quad \ddots \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { i } i=1 \quad \therefore \quad 1 \quad 1 \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

$\square$
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In the above equations, $f$ and $f$, are the partial derivatives of f with respect to $\emptyset_{x}$ and $\emptyset_{y}$.

Equations (36) and (41) are linear. The first set of equations (36) and (37) can be easily solved for $\emptyset_{x 0}$ and $\emptyset_{\text {yo }}$. This solution is substituted into equations (38) and (39) which can then be solved for $\emptyset_{\mathrm{x} 1}$ and $\emptyset_{\mathrm{y} 1}$. This solution is substituted into equations (40) and (41) to solve for $\emptyset_{x 2}$ and $\emptyset_{y 2}$, and so on....In general the solution of kth set leads to the solution of the $(k+1)$ th set.

In all cases in which convergence of Poisson's series given by equations (32) and (33) exists, the exact solution can be approached by reiteration of successive substitutions. The convergence of Poisson's series is proved in Appendix B. The additional term obtained by a further substitution is smaller than the previous one and ultimately further substitution leads only to a negligible correction. In many practical cases the respective first term $\emptyset_{\text {xo }}$ and $\emptyset_{y o}$ of equations (32) and (33) alone are sufficiently close to the exact answer. Such a solution is the first approximation solution. In the first approximation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{x}=\phi_{x 0}  \tag{42}\\
& \phi_{y}=\phi_{y o} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

A closer solution can be obtained by taking the first two terms of the series (32) and (33), and the solution is called the second approximation. In the second approximation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{\mathrm{x}}=\phi_{\mathrm{xo}}+\phi_{\mathrm{x} 1}  \tag{44}\\
& \phi_{\mathrm{y}}=\phi_{\mathrm{yo}}+\phi_{\mathrm{y} 1} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$



If a more exact solution is wanted, more terms in the series (32) and (33) should be taken, and the solution is denoted as kth approximation, in which,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{y}=\phi_{v} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. First approximation theory.

For a practical application of the theory derived in the previous sections, and to simplify the equations without affecting seriously the exactness of the polynomial to the $F, \emptyset$ curve, it can be assumed that the magnetization characteristics of the core material can be approximated by a three term polynomial within its operating range,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=k \emptyset+\mathrm{k}_{3} \emptyset^{3}+\mathrm{k}_{5} \emptyset^{5} \quad \text { ampere-turn } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that and are so small such that equations (32) and (33) can be approximated by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{\mathrm{x}}=\emptyset_{\mathrm{xo}} & \text { weber } \\
\emptyset_{\mathrm{y}}=\emptyset_{\mathrm{yo}} & \text { weber } \tag{50}
\end{array}
$$

Evaluating $\emptyset_{x 0}$ and $\emptyset_{y o}$ from equations (36) and (37), and substituting them into equations (49) and (50), the solution of fluxes of first approximation is obtained,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\emptyset_{x}=\emptyset_{o b}+\emptyset_{1 m} \sin \left(-t-\theta_{1}\right) & \text { weber } \\
\emptyset_{y}=\emptyset_{2 m} \sin \left(u \cdot t-\theta_{2}\right) & \text { weber } \tag{52}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{o b}=\frac{N_{1} E_{b}}{k_{1}{ }^{2 R}} \quad \text { weber } \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& \emptyset_{1 m}=\frac{N_{1}}{k_{1} 2 R_{1} \quad V_{1 m}\left(1+(-\cdots,)^{2}\right.}  \tag{54}\\
& \phi_{2 m}=\frac{N_{2}}{k 2 R_{2} \sqrt{\left(1+\frac{R_{L}}{2 R_{2}}\right)^{2}}+()_{2 m}}  \tag{55}\\
& \theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \quad 12  \tag{56}\\
& \begin{aligned}
& \theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \\
& k_{1} R_{1} \\
& N_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}  \tag{57}\\
& \text { degree } \\
& \text { degree } \\
& \text { radian/sec }  \tag{58}\\
& u_{0}=\frac{k_{1} R_{2}}{N_{2}^{2}}  \tag{59}\\
& \text { weber } \\
& \text { weber } \\
& \text { radian/sec }
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting equations (51) and (52) into equations (30) and (31) and letting $n$ 's be the odd numbers up to 5 , the current responses of the first approximation attain the following terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{1}=I_{i}+I_{i,} \sin \left(2 \Delta t-\theta_{i}\right)+I_{i 3} \cos 2\left(-\Delta t-\theta_{i}\right) \\
& +I_{13} \sin 3\left(-2 t-\theta_{0}\right)+I_{s i n} \cos 4\left(1 t-\theta_{0}\right) \\
& \left.+I_{i j} \sin 5\left(2 t-\theta_{8}\right)\right]+\left[I_{21} \sin (\sin -\theta)+I_{20}\right. \\
& +I_{2} \cos 2(t-\theta)+I \sin 3(\cdots t-\theta) \cos 2(\cdots t-\theta) \\
& +\left[I_{10}+I_{i j} \sin \left(1-t-\theta_{i}\right)\right] \cos 4\left(\omega t-\theta_{j}\right) \text { ampere } \\
& i_{2}=I_{10}+I_{0} \sin (f t-\theta)+I_{i 2} \cos 2\left(: 2 t-\theta_{0}\right)+I_{i S} \sin 3\left(: s t-\theta_{0}\right) \\
& \left.+I_{i=i f} \cos 4\left(\sin t-\theta_{i}\right)\right] \sin \left(\cot -\theta_{i}\right)+I_{s=}+I_{i_{0}} \sin (-3 t-\theta) \\
& \left.+I \cos 2\left(-2 t-\theta_{j}\right)\right] \sin 3(\sin -\theta)+I_{i, j} \sin 5\left(\ldots t-\theta_{0}\right) \text { ampere } \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$


where the I's are given in Appendix C. If we consider the envelope of the modulated signal of only the fundamental carrier frequency across the load resistance, the output voltage will be:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{0}=R_{L}\left[I_{i 6} \sin \left(2 t-\theta_{0}\right)+I_{i 2} \cos 2\left(\alpha t-\theta_{i}\right)\right. \\
+I_{i 3} \sin 3\left(2 t-\theta_{i}\right)+I_{i 4} \cos 4\left(i t-\theta_{i}\right) \tag{62}
\end{gather*}
$$

The fundamental voltage gain is then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{v}=\frac{R_{L} I_{11}}{E_{1 m}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the percentage harmonic distortion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \% \text { 2nd Harmonic distortion }=\frac{I_{12}}{I_{11}} \times 100  \tag{64}\\
& \% \text { 3rd Harmonic distortion }=\frac{I_{13}}{I_{11}} \times 100 \text {, etc..... } \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

The phase difference between input voltage and the fundamental component of the output voltage is evidently $\theta_{1}$.
4. Fundamental voltage gain.

From the value of $I_{11}$ defined in equation (155) if:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{b o}=\frac{2 R_{1} k_{i}}{N_{1}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{i c}\left|\frac{k_{3}}{k_{5}}\right|} \quad \text { volts }  \tag{66}\\
& E_{10}=\frac{2 R_{1} k_{i}}{N_{1}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}\left|\frac{k_{3}}{k_{5}}\right|} \quad \text { volts }  \tag{67}\\
& E_{20}=\frac{2 R_{2} h_{i}}{N_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}\left|\frac{k_{i}}{k_{2}}\right|} \quad \text { volts }  \tag{68}\\
& A=2.08 \frac{N_{2}^{2}}{k_{2} H_{5}} \frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}} \frac{R_{2}}{N_{0}} \quad \text { dimensionless } \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

the fundamental voltage gain derived in equation (63) can be expressed as:

where and were defined in equations (58) and (59).
The equation (70) shows that this gain is a function of six dimensionless parameters:

$$
\frac{E_{b}}{E_{b o}}, \frac{E_{1}}{E_{10}}, \frac{E_{2}}{E_{20}}, \frac{R_{L}}{2 R_{2}}, \frac{\Omega}{}
$$

## 5. Amplitude of voltage gain as a function of the dimensionless para-

 meters:(a) Gain as a function of bias *

If everything is considered constant except $E_{b} / E_{b o}$, the gain may be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\operatorname{sos} \frac{E_{i n}}{E_{b 0}}\left[i-\beta,\left(\frac{E_{\infty}}{E_{b, \infty}}\right)^{n}\right. \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

The theoretical characteristics gain curve as a function of bias is plotted in Fig. 3.

When the bias is zero, the signal voltage generates a corresponding flux in one direction in one half cycle, then in the other direction the following half cycle. The envelope of the modulated output is dominated by even harmonics. The fundamental gain is zero. Increasing the bias, which prevents the flux generated by the signal voltage from being of the reverse direction, results in an increase of fundamental gain and decrease of even harmonic distortion. If the bias is further increased, the second order term in the bracket of equation (71) becomes significant and $k$ will
$=$
-
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decrease. Therefore the curve of the gain will bend down. Physically, it means that the bias has caused saturation.
(b) Gain as a function of carrier voltage-

The amplitude of the carrier voltage will produce the same effect as the bias. The characteristic gain curve is similar to that of Fig. 3.
(c) Gain as a function of input voltage -

The gain is independent of the input voltage as long as this input voltage is small. When the input voltage increases, the third term in the bracket of equation (iD) $E_{1}^{2} / E_{10}^{2}$ becomes significant in comparison with the summation of the other terms in the bracket, therefore the gain will decrease. Physically the flux swing caused by a large signal voltage covers a large portion on the nonlinear magnetization curve. More harmonics are thus generated while the fundamental component does not increase proportionally with the input.

If the dimensionless input voltage $E_{1} / E_{10}$ is considered as the only variable in equation (70), the gain may be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\alpha_{1}\left[1-\rho_{1}\left(\frac{E}{E}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

and

$$
h_{1}=\frac{1}{\left.\left[i+\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\right]\left\{\left.1 \cdots\left(\frac{E_{n}}{E_{n}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{E_{2}}{B_{n}}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\,\left(i+\frac{R_{2}}{2 i_{x}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{w}{w_{0}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}\right\}}
$$

(d) Gain as a function of signal frequency -

If the dimensionless signal frequency the only variable in equation (70), the gain will be expressed as:
?
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\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\left[1+\left(\frac{2}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{2} / 2}\left[1-\frac{3-2}{1+\left(\frac{-2}{\Omega_{C}}\right)^{6}}\right] \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where
$\alpha_{-2}=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left[1+\frac{R_{2}}{u_{2} i_{0}}\right)^{i}+\left(\frac{u_{2}}{L_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] / h_{6} \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

and

(e) Gain as a function of carrier frequency -

The carrier frequency has a similar effect upon the gain.
Plotted, the gain characteristic curve will be similar to the curve in Fig. 5.
(f) Gain as a function of load resistance -

If the dimensionless load resistance $R_{L / 2 R_{2}}$ is the only
variable in equation (70), the gain will be:

$$
K=\frac{\alpha_{L}\left(\frac{R_{L}}{2 R_{2}}\right)}{\left[\left(1+\frac{R_{L}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{\prime}}
$$

where
$\alpha_{i}=$

and

$$
\left(\frac{E_{2}}{E_{20}}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\left(\frac{E_{n}}{E_{b c}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{E_{i}}{E_{i c}}\right)^{2}\left[i+\left(\frac{2}{-2}\right)^{2}\right]-i \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a definite value of $w_{\omega_{v}}$, the gain curve is plotted in Fig. 6.
According to the gain equation (Eq. 80), the gain would reach asymptotically a finite value as load resistance increases to infinity. However physically the gain is expected to approach zero as load goes to infinity. When $R_{L}$ goes to infinity, the gate circuit acts as an open circuit,
$1+1-3$
$\qquad$


FIG. 5 - GAIN VAS SIGNAL FREQUENCY ( Theoretical)

and $!_{0} E_{\omega} \Delta i \ldots t \quad B_{b}=\frac{3_{i n}}{E_{i n}}=0$ since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is independent of $E_{i n}$ which drives the core into saturation. 6. Phase angle -

The phase difference $\theta_{1}$ between input voltage and the findamental component of output appears implicitly in equation (62). Let $L_{10}$ be the linearized inductance of the input winding,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{10}=N_{1}^{2} / k_{1} \text { henry } \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (56) and (58)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}=\tan -\frac{1 L_{10}}{R_{1}} \text { degree } \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase difference between input current and output current is negligible.
7. Harmonic distortion.
(a) In the output voltage equation (Eq. 62), the first term $R_{L} I_{11}$ represents the fundamental component, the second term $R_{L} I_{12}$ represents the second harmonic content, the third term $R_{L} I_{13}$ represents the third harmonic content etc.

Only the second harmonic distortion is considered in this presenta. tion.

Let the second harmonic generation be defind as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{2 n d}=\frac{I_{12} R_{L}}{E_{1 m}} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

or as a percentage of the fundamental gain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\% \text { 2nd harmonic distortion }=\frac{K^{2 n d}}{K} \times 100 \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ is the fundamental gain given by equation (70). If $I_{12}$ is substituted by its value given in equation (156) into equation (85), $K^{2 n d}$ may be expressed as:




FIG.G - GAIN V/S LOAD RESISTANCE (Theoretical)



$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2 n d} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

I
(b) Effect of bias on $K$ and $K^{2 n d}$.

From equations (70) and (87) and from Fig. 3 and 7 , it is seen that as bias approaches zero, $K^{2 n d}$ approaches a finite value and $K$ approaches zero. In the limit, the absence of the fundamental frequency and a maximum second harmonic in the output are expected. In fact, when the bias is zero, odd harmonics are absent.
(c) Second harmonic generation as a function of bias -

If the dimensionless $E_{b} / E_{b o}$ is considered as the only variable equation (87) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{2 n d}=b_{n}^{2 n d}\left[i \ldots i_{n}^{2 n d}\left(a_{n}^{\infty}\right)^{n}\right] \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and


The second harmonic generation as a function of bias is plotted in Fig. 7.



III－Experimental Verification－
1．Experimental setup－
（a）Experimental circuic－
The circuit used for this experiment is illustrated in
Figs．1．and 2．Two identical saturable magnetic reactors are connected in series．An alternating current voltage source biased by a direct current voltage source was used to provide the input signal to the cir－ cuit．An a c voltage source of higher frequency was used as carrier supply．
（b）Equipment and instrumentation－
The cores used were a matched pair of core 非50106（HYMU80， Magnetic IIFC．Products）．An Hewlett－Fackard audio generator type HP 202A was used as signal generator．Between the signal generator and the in put circuit a cathode follower was connected so that negligible impedance has been added to the control circuit．The cathode follower used in this experiment is illustrated in Fig．18．The carrier supply for the magnetic amplifier was taken from the 400 cycle per second， 120 volt， 2 －phase labor－ atory bus，through a powerstat to control the carrier voltage．The bias voltage was supplied by a 6 volt $d$ c source through slide wire resistor to provirie blas voltage desired．A slide wire resistor was connected in series with the bias source to keep the resistance of the control circuit constant for different bias voltages．The load resistance used was an＝ other slide wire resistor．

The cores were wound with 75 turns of AWG非 38 wire for the control circuit and 500 turns of AWG非 1 wire for the gate circuit．The load voltage was displayed on a Tektronix Oscilloscope Type 545，using type K plug－in amplifier for single trace and type CA plug－in unit for dual trace．

An General Radio Wave Analyzer type $736-\mathrm{A}$ was used to measure the magnitude of the second hamonic in the output current.
(c) Magnetization curve of the magnetic reactor -

The magnetization characteristics of the saturable magnetic reactor were measured by using the circuit arranged as shown in Fig. 8. The display of the X axis of the oscilloscope measures the magnetomotive force $F$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}}{\mathrm{r}_{1}} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \quad \text { ampere-turns } \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $Y$ axis measures the total flux when the values of $r_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ are so designed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{4 C_{2}} \quad \text { ohms } \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is the frequency of the power supply.
Since the voltage equation of the secondary winding of the reactor (Fig. 8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2} \frac{d}{d t}=i_{2} r_{2}+\frac{1}{C_{2}} i_{2} d t \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the relationship (93), the reactance drop in equation (94) can be neglected without introducing serious error, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{2}=\frac{N_{2}}{r_{2}} \quad \frac{d \emptyset}{d t} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

The voltage applied to the $Y$ axis of the oscilloscope is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{y}=\frac{1}{C_{2}} \int i_{2} d t \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $i_{2}$ in equation (95) into equation (96),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\mathrm{r}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}}{\mathrm{~N}_{2}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \text { weber } \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (97) shows that the total flux is proportional to the display of the $Y$ axis of the oscilloscope. Thus the magnetization curve of the saturable reactor can be obtained simply by calibrating the oscilloscope。 The magnetization characteristics of the reactors used in this experiment are shown in Fig. 9.



FIG. 8 - Circuit for measuring the magnetization characteristics of the Magnetic Reactors

The magnetization curve shown in Fig. 9 may be represented by a three-term polynomial given in equation (48). Using numerical analysis method to determine the value of $k_{1}, k_{2}$, and $k_{3}$, there results

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1}=.177 \times 10^{6} & \text { amp-turns/weber } \\
k_{2}=-11.6 \times 10^{12} & \text { amp-turns/weber } \\
k_{3}=348 \times 10^{18} & \text { amp-turns/weber } \tag{100}
\end{array}
$$

The magnetic amplifier has the following constants:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N_{1}=75 & \text { turns } \\
N_{2}=500 & \text { turns } \\
R_{1}=6.05 & \text { ohms } \\
R_{2}=113 & \text { ohms } \tag{104}
\end{array}
$$

From equations (58), (59), (66), (67), (68) and (69), the following constants are evaluated:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Omega_{0}=196 & \text { radians } / s e c o n d \\
W_{0}=80 & \text { radians/second } \\
E_{b o}=2.85 & \text { volts } \\
E_{10}=2.32 \text { volts } \\
E_{20}=6.5 \text { volts } \\
A=12.8 & \text { dimensionless } \tag{110}
\end{array}
$$

If the following specific numerical values are used for the computations,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{2}=95 & \text { volts } \\
\omega=2 \pi \times 400 \quad \text { radians } / s e c o n d ~ \\
E_{1}=1.00 \quad \text { volt } \\
\Omega=2 \pi \times 20 \quad \text { radians/second } \tag{114}
\end{array}
$$
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FIG. 9 - MAGNETIZATION of the reactors used in this experiment
?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{b}=1.46 & \text { volts } \\
R_{L}=5000 & \text { ohms } \tag{116}
\end{array}
$$

the six dimensionless paraneters which are present in the fundamental voltage gain equation (Eq. 70) will be:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{E_{2}}{E_{20}} & =14.4  \tag{117}\\
\frac{\omega_{0}}{\omega_{0}} & =31.4  \tag{118}\\
\frac{E_{1}}{E_{10}} & =0.43  \tag{119}\\
\frac{\Omega_{1}}{\Omega_{3}} & =0.64  \tag{120}\\
\frac{E_{b}}{E_{b o}} & =(0.512)  \tag{121}\\
\frac{R_{L}}{2 R_{2}} & =22 \tag{122}
\end{align*}
$$

and the coefficients $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of the nonlinear factors given by equations (24) and (25) are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{:}=-0.54 \times 10^{-3}  \tag{123}\\
& f_{:}=-5.20 \times 10^{-3} \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (70), the gain of first approximation can be calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=24.14 \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Experimental results -

Fig. 11 shows the waveforms of the envelope of the output currents for different biases with other parameters being:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{1}=1.0 & \text { volt } \\
E_{2}=95 & \text { volts } \\
\Omega=2 \times 20 & \text { radians/second } \\
U^{\prime}=2 \times 400 & \text { radians/second } \\
R_{L}=5000 & \text { ohms } \tag{130}
\end{array}
$$




FIG.IO- EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP
and the calibration of the oscilloscope being 8 volts per small division of the screen of the oscilloscope.

With $E_{b}=1.46$ volt for example, the peak to peak of the modulating fundamental covers 8.1 small divisions. Thus the gain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{8.1 \times 8}{2 \times \sqrt{2} \times 1.0}=23.0 \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (72) and (73),

$$
\begin{align*}
\therefore & =63  \tag{132}\\
& =1.48 \tag{133}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (131) and (132),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K}{2}=0.365 \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the constant $E_{b o}=2.85$ volts (Eq. 107) and the bias voltage $E_{b}=$ 1.46 volt,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{b}}{E_{b o}}=\frac{1.46}{2.85}=0.512 \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

By equations(134) and (135), this particular experimental result can be plotted with $K / \delta_{\text {in }}$ as ordinate and $E_{b} / E_{b o}$ as abcissa for the experiment al curve of gain versus bias. (Fig. 12).

Fig. 11 (d) shows negligible second harmonic distortion, however, in the case of zero bias (Fig. 11 a), the modulating wave is dominated by second harmonic, which covers approximately 1.6 small division of the screen of the oscilloscope. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}^{2 \mathrm{nd}}=\frac{1.6 \times 8}{2 \times \mathrm{K}^{2} \times 1.0}=4.57 \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (90) and (91)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{n_{m} i}{m} & =6.1  \tag{137}\\
y_{r} & =3.9 \tag{138}
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\text { (a) } \varepsilon_{b}=0 \text { volt }
$$

$$
\text { (b) } E_{b}=.485 \mathrm{volt}
$$

$$
\text { (c) } E_{b}=.73 \text { volt }
$$

(d) $E_{b}=1.46 \mathrm{volt}$
(e)

$$
E_{b}=2.42 \mathrm{Volts}
$$

FIG. II - ENVELOPES OF OUTPUT CURRENTS
FOR DIFFERENT BIASES
4
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FIG. 12 - GAIN V/S BIAS


Thus for $E_{b} / E_{b o}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k^{2 \mathrm{nd}}}{\dot{u}^{2 \sin 6}}=\frac{4.57}{6.1}=0.75 \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Different values of $K^{2 n d} /{ }^{2 n d}$ with corresponding values of $E_{b} / E_{b o}$ are plotted in Fig. 12.

Fig. 13 shows the envelopes of the output currents for different signal frequencieswith other parameters being

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}=1.0 \text { volts }  \tag{140}\\
& E_{2}=95 \text { volts }  \tag{141}\\
& \omega=2 \pi \times 400 \quad \text { radians/second }  \tag{142}\\
& E_{b}=1.46 \quad \text { volt }  \tag{143}\\
& R_{L}=5000 \quad \text { ohms } \tag{144}
\end{align*}
$$

and calibration being 6 volts per small division of the screen of the oscilloscope.

From equation (78) and (79)

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{\Omega} & =32  \tag{145}\\
3_{2} & =0.3 \tag{146}
\end{align*}
$$

Different values of $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{i}$ si with corresponding values of $i / / i$ are plotted in Fig. 14.

Fig. 15 shows the envelopes of output currents for different load resistances. An experimental curve is obtained in Fig. 16 with different values of $K / \alpha_{L}$ plotted against $R_{L} / 2 R_{2}$.
(f) Comparison of experimental results with computation In Fig. 11 (Gain versus bias), the theoretical curves approach zero much more rapidly than the experimental ones if the bias is brought up into the region of over-saturation. A deviation is expected in this region where the three-term polynomial given in equation (48) does not closely represent the magnetization curve.


FIG.13-ENVELOPES OF OUTPUT CURRENTS

FOR OIFFERENT SIGNAL FREQUENCIES



FIG.14- GAIN VS SIGNAL FREQUENCY
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Similarly for the case of the varying load resistance (Fig. 16). For a small load resistance, both equation (80) and experimental results show a linear relationship between the gain and the load resistance. If the load resistance is increased, this relationship is no longer linear, but there still exists an agreement between the theoretical and experimental results. If the load resistance is further increased beyond a certain limit, agreement is no longer expected.

It becomes evident that if the load resistance is increased beyond a certain limit, the first approximation is no longer sufficient and second or even higher approximation becomes necessary. It is, therefore, necessary to establish a limit for load resistance beyond which the first approximation is insufficient.

It is mentioned in Appendix B that if the first approximation $\emptyset_{y o}$ is to be sufficient and if the term in equations (32) and (33) monotonically decrease, the additional term yl should be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{2}\right|\left|4_{y,}\right| \ll\left|4_{y}\right| \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

Since from equation (25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|r|=\frac{R_{2}\left(1+\frac{R_{L}}{R_{2}}\right)+\lambda}{n^{2}} \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

the inequality (144) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R_{\mathrm{L}} \div \frac{\emptyset_{\mathrm{yo}}}{\emptyset_{\mathrm{yl}}} \frac{2 N_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{2}}-1\right\} \quad 2 \mathrm{R}_{2} \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

This establishes an upper limit for load resistance for which the first approximation is sufficient.
(


FIG. 15 - ENVELOPES OF OUTPUT CURRENTS
FOR DIFFERENT LOAD RESISTANCES




FIG.17- SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION PLOTTED AGAINST BIAS
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IV-Conclusion -
The present analysis, frincipally concerned with the treatmenc of a series-connected magnetic amplifier including the effects of a resistive load, is mainly based on Poisson's perturbation method. The lack of knowledge of the region of convergence is the main disadvantage of the use of this method. This type of difficulty is inherent in most perturbation methods. In this particular application, the coeffictent of nonlinear factor is usually small and negative. The smallness contributes to a rapid diminution of the contribution from successive approximations. In addition, its negative sign results in a series whose terms are alternately positive and negative. If the absolute values of the terms form a monotonic null sequence, the series converges. Furthermore, the error that results from approximating the infinite series by a finite number of terms does not exceed the absolute value of the first term onitted.
(


FIG. 18 - CATHODE FOLLOWER
used in the input circuit of the experimental setup


## AFPENDIX A

Proof of convergence of Equations (30) and (31).
Since $\emptyset_{x}$ and $\emptyset_{y}$ are finite, there may be assigned a finite value $\phi_{0} / 2$, by which both $\emptyset_{x}$ and $\emptyset_{y}$ are bounded, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{\mathrm{x}}=\emptyset_{\mathrm{o}} / 2  \tag{147}\\
& \emptyset_{\mathrm{y}}>\emptyset_{0} / 2 \text { if } \emptyset_{\mathrm{x}}>\emptyset_{\mathrm{y}} \tag{148}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (147) and (148) into equation (31), the latter is reduced to


Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \quad n=2^{n} \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
i_{2} \leq \frac{1}{N_{2}} \quad 1,3,5 \ldots \quad k_{n} \emptyset_{0}^{n}
$$

n

Because the right hand side of equation (151) is a series known as convergent, therefore, the current response equations for $i_{2}$ is convergent too. The convergence of $i_{1}$ which is given by equation (30) can be proved the same way.

## AFPENDTX B

Sufficient conditions for the convergence of the Series given by equations (32) and (33)

The assumption that $\emptyset_{x}$ and $\emptyset_{y}$ may be represented by equations (32) and (33) is valid only if such series do converge. Otherwise, $\emptyset_{x}$ and $\emptyset_{y}$ cannot be represented by these equations and the present analysis is not applicable.

A sufficient condition for the convergence of these series can be established due to the fact that the small parameters i. and are negative. Thus, odd power term of equations (32) and (33) are of negative sign and even power term, of positive sign. There results a series whose terms are alternatively positive and negative. The sufficient condition for the convergence of such serles according to Leibnitz theorem is that the absolute values of the terms form a monotonic null sequence, namely, for the present case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i i_{i}^{i}<\frac{i_{x}^{0} x(n-1)}{i n} \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \frac{\emptyset y(n-1)}{\emptyset_{y n}} \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the error that results from apfroximating the infinite series by a finite number of terms does not exceed the absolute value of the first term omitted.


## APPENDIX C

Expressions of currents appearing in Equations (50) and (61)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{10}-\frac{k_{1}}{\mathrm{~N}_{2}} \emptyset_{2 m} 1+3 \frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}\left(\emptyset_{\mathrm{ob}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}+\emptyset_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \emptyset_{2 m}^{2}\right) \\
& +5 \frac{k_{5}}{k_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I_{11}=6 \frac{k_{3}}{N_{2}} \emptyset_{o b} \emptyset_{1 m} \emptyset_{2 m} 1+\frac{10}{3} \frac{k_{5}}{k_{3}}\left(\emptyset_{o b}^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \emptyset_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \emptyset_{2 m}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
I_{12}=-\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{3}}{N_{2}} \phi_{1 m}^{2} \emptyset_{2 m} 1+10 \frac{k_{5}}{k_{3}}\left(\phi_{o b}^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \phi_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \emptyset_{2 m}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
I_{13}=-5 \frac{k_{5}}{N_{2}} \emptyset_{\text {ob }} \emptyset_{1 m}^{3} \emptyset_{2 m}
$$

$$
I_{14}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{k_{5}}{N_{2}} \emptyset_{1 m}^{4} \emptyset_{2 m}
$$

$$
I_{30}=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{k_{3}}{N_{2}} \phi_{2 m}^{3} \quad 1+10 \frac{k_{5}}{k_{3}}\left(\emptyset_{o b}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \emptyset_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{1}{8} \phi_{2 m}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
I_{31}=-5 \frac{k_{5}}{\mathrm{~N}_{2}} \emptyset_{\mathrm{ob}} \emptyset_{1 \mathrm{~m}} \emptyset_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{3}
$$

$$
I_{32}=\frac{5^{k_{5}}}{4 N_{2}} \emptyset_{1 m}^{2} \emptyset_{2 m}^{3}
$$

$$
I_{50}=\frac{1^{k_{5}}}{16 N_{2}} \quad \emptyset_{2 m}^{5}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{00}=\frac{k_{1}}{N_{1}} \emptyset_{o b} \quad 1+\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}\left(\emptyset_{o b}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \emptyset_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \emptyset_{2 m}^{2}\right) \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\frac{k_{5}}{k_{1}}\left(\emptyset_{o b}^{4}+\frac{15}{8} \emptyset_{1 m}^{4}+\frac{15}{8} \emptyset_{2 m}^{4}+5 \emptyset_{o b}^{2} \emptyset_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{15}{2} \emptyset_{1 m}^{2} \emptyset_{2 m}^{2}+5 \emptyset_{2 m o b}^{2} \emptyset_{o b}^{2}\right)
$$

## H)
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{01}=\frac{k_{1}}{N_{1}} \theta_{1 m} 1+3 \frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}\left(\theta_{o b}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \phi_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho_{2 \pi}^{2}\right) \\
& +5 \frac{k_{5}}{k_{1}}\left(\phi_{o b}^{4}+\frac{1}{8} \phi_{1 m}^{4}+\frac{3}{8} \phi_{2 m}^{4}+\frac{3}{2} \phi_{o b}^{2} \phi_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{3}{4} \phi_{1 m}^{2} \phi_{2 m}^{2}+3 \phi_{2 m}^{2} \phi_{o b}^{2}\right) \\
& I_{02}=-\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{3}}{N_{1}} \emptyset_{\text {ob }} \phi_{1 m}^{2}\left[1+\frac{10}{3} \frac{k_{5}}{k_{3}}\left(\phi_{\text {ob }}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \phi_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \phi_{2 m}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& I_{03}=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{k_{3}}{\mathbb{N}_{1}} \phi_{1 m}^{3}\left\{1+10 \frac{k_{5}}{k_{3}}\left(\phi_{o b}^{2}+\frac{1}{8} \phi_{1 m}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \phi_{2 m}^{2}\right)!\right. \\
& I_{04}=\frac{5}{8} \frac{k_{5}}{N_{1}} \varphi_{\text {ob }} \emptyset_{1 m}^{4} \\
& I_{05}=\frac{1}{16} \quad \frac{k_{5}}{N_{1}} \phi_{1 \mathrm{~m}}^{5} \\
& I_{20}=-\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{3}}{N_{1}} \emptyset_{o b} \emptyset_{2 m}^{2} 1+\frac{10}{3} \frac{k_{5}}{k_{3}}\left(\otimes_{\mathrm{ob}}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \emptyset_{1 \mathrm{~m}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{2}\right)  \tag{169}\\
& I_{21}=-\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{3}}{N_{1}} \phi_{1 \mathrm{~m}} \phi_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{2} \int_{1}+10 \frac{k_{5}}{k_{3}}\left(\theta_{o b}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \phi_{1 \mathrm{~m}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \phi_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{2}\right)  \tag{170}\\
& I_{22}=\frac{15}{2} \frac{k_{5}}{N_{1}} \theta_{o b} \emptyset_{1 m}^{2} \phi_{2 m}^{2}  \tag{171}\\
& I_{23}=\frac{5}{4} \quad \frac{k_{5}}{N_{1}} \phi_{1 \mathrm{~m}}^{3} \phi_{2 m}^{2}  \tag{172}\\
& I_{40}=\frac{5}{8} \frac{k_{5}}{N_{1}} \phi_{\text {ot }} \phi_{2 m}^{4}  \tag{173}\\
& I_{41}=\frac{5}{8} \quad \frac{k_{5}}{N_{1}} \emptyset_{1 m} \phi_{2 m}^{4} \tag{194}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -48 \\
& -281 \\
& \text { + } \\
& =-2 \\
& 2 \\
& \text { 全 } \\
& 3 \times 1
\end{aligned}
$$
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